## Recommender Systems and Dimensionality Reduction

Sibylle Hess

## 1

## Informal Problem Description

## Recommending Movies like Netflix does



## Who Would You Recommend What and Why?

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \frac{0}{0} \\ 3_{3}^{5} \\ 5 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{c} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{\bar{\omega}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grace | , ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ | 4) |  | A |  |  | ¢9 |  | -6) | ¢ | , ${ }^{\text {che }}$ |  | 4) |  |
| Carol |  | ) | (4) | (4) |  |  |  | 5959 |  |  |  | 999\% |  | 1939 |
| Alice | (4) | -5 | 59 | (4) | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99\% | A |  |
| Bob | , ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ |  |  |  |  | 9995 |  | 5959 | 599\% | 59y | 5959 |  | 595950 |  |
| Eve | 9 |  |  |  | A | 59 |  | 59) | ,9y9 |  |  |  | 59y |  |
| Chuck | 5989 | 退 |  | 4959 | 4959 | 5959 | 4 | ,-459 |  | , | , |  | A | 139 |

## Who Would You Recommend What and Why？

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{n} \\ & 3_{3}^{\prime} \\ & \stackrel{5}{\ddagger} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 든 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \ddot{\ddot{0}} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 宏 } \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{c} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\omega}}{\stackrel{\underline{\omega}}{⿺}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{n}{n} \\ \frac{0}{0} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grace | 9 | 4 |  | A |  |  | ） |  | 9 | 4） | 4） |  | （1） |  |
| Carol |  | 4 | 19 | ， |  |  |  | 495 |  |  |  | $1{ }^{4}$ |  | （5） |
| Alice | ， | 195 | ） | 4） | ） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | A |  |
| Bob | 59 |  |  |  |  | 198） |  | 4 | ， | 4） | 5） |  | 4） |  |
| Eve | 缶 |  |  |  | A | ］ |  | 4 | 19） |  |  |  | 4） |  |
| Chuck | 4 | 45 |  | （4） | （4） | 14） | 4 | ， |  | （4） | \％ |  | A | 5 |

## What is this Color Scheme in Math?



## What is this Color Scheme in Math?



## What is this Color Scheme in Math? A Matrix Product!



## 2

## Derive the Formal Problem Definition

## The Rank-r Matrix Factorization Problem

Given: a data matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and a rank $r<\min \{n, d\}$.
Find: matrices $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ whose product approximates the data matrix:

$$
\min _{X, Y}\left\|D-Y X^{\top}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { s.t. } X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}
$$

## The Rank-r MF Problem is Nonconvex

Theorem (MF is Nonconvex)
The rank-r matrix factorization problem, defined for a matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \neq 0$ and a rank $1 \leq r<\min \{n, d\}$ as

$$
\min _{X, Y} R S S(X, Y)=\left\|D-Y X^{\top}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { s.t. } X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}
$$

is a nonconvex optimization problem.
Proof: follows from the fact that the set of global minimizers is not a convex set.

## Example: One-dimensional Matrix Factorization



$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(1-x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

## The rank- $r$ MF problem is

 nonconvex. Does that mean that we can only determine local minimizers?No, the global minimum is given by truncated SVD.

## 3

## Optimization

## Singular Value Decomposition

## Theorem (SVD)

For every matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ there exist orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ such that

$$
D=U \Sigma V^{\top}, \text { where }
$$

- $U^{\top} U=U U^{\top}=I_{n}, V^{\top} V=V V^{\top}=I_{d}$

■ $\Sigma$ is a rectangular diagonal matrix, $\Sigma_{11} \geq \ldots \geq \Sigma_{\|}$where $I=\min \{n, d\}$

The column vectors $U_{\text {.s }}$ and $V_{\cdot s}$ are called left and right singular vectors and the values $\sigma_{i}=\Sigma_{i i}$ are called singular values $(1 \leq i \leq l)$.

## Solutions to the Rank-r Matrix Factorization Problem

## Theorem (Truncated SVD)

Let $D=U \Sigma V^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be the singular decomposition of $D$. Then the global minimizers $X$ and $Y$ of the rank-r MF problem

$$
\min _{X, Y}\left\|D-Y X^{\top}\right\|^{2} \text { s.t. } X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} .
$$

satisfy

$$
Y X^{\top}=U_{\cdot \mathcal{R}} \Sigma_{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}} V_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}^{\top}, \text { where } \mathcal{R}=\{1, \ldots, r\} .
$$

The proof follows from the orthogonal invariance of the Frobenius norm, yielding:

$$
\min _{X, Y}\left\|D-Y X^{\top}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\Sigma-U^{\top} Y X^{\top} V\right\|^{2}
$$

## Truncated SVD

The approximation $D \approx U_{\cdot \mathcal{R}} \Sigma_{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}} V_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}^{\top}$ is called truncated SVD.


## Ok, so the truncated SVD solves the task to determine a low-rank approximation of my data.

# How can we apply the low-rank 

 approximation to providerecommendations?
Fill missing values with the mean value and compute the truncated SVD.

## Matrix Completion for Recommender Systems



Can we fill the ? with the rating which would be given by the user if ( $s$ )he had seen the movie?

## Matrix Completion by SVD

Quick hack: replace the ? with the mean rating $\mu=3$.
Movies

| Users | A |  | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 5 | $\mu$ | 2 | 1 |
|  | 2 | $\mu$ | 1 | 5 | $\mu$ |
|  | 3 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
|  | 4 | 5 | $\mu$ | 5 | 3 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | $\mu$ | $\mu$ |
|  | 6 | $\mu$ | 4 | 5 | 3 |

## The Low-Rank Matrix Approximation Provides

 Recommendations$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
5 & \mu & 1 & 1 \\
\mu & 1 & 5 & \mu \\
2 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\
4 & \mu & 4 & 2 \\
5 & 5 & \mu & 1 \\
\mu & 1 & 5 & 3
\end{array}\right) & \approx\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
4.3 & 3.7 & 1.4 & 0.6 \\
2.8 & 1.2 & 5.1 & 3.0 \\
2.2 & 0.7 & 5.0 & 2.9 \\
4.2 & 2.8 & 3.9 & 2.1 \\
5.5 & 4.5 & 2.7 & 1.3 \\
2.8 & 1.2 & 5.1 & 3.0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-0.3 & 0.5 \\
-0.4 & -0.4 \\
-0.4 & -0.4 \\
-0.4 & 0.1 \\
-0.5 & 0.5 \\
-0.4 & -0.4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-9.0 & -5.8 & -9.5 & -5.3 \\
2.6 & 3.3 & -3.3 & -2.2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interpretation of MF for Recommender Systems

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
5 & \mu & 1 & 1 \\
\mu & 1 & 5 & \mu \\
2 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\
4 & \mu & 4 & 2 \\
5 & 5 & \mu & 1 \\
\mu & 1 & 5 & 3
\end{array}\right) \approx\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-0.3 & 0.5 \\
-0.4 & -0.4 \\
-0.4 & -0.4 \\
-0.4 & 0.1 \\
-0.5 & 0.5 \\
-0.4 & -0.4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-9.0 & -5.8 & -9.5 & -5.3 \\
2.6 & 3.3 & -3.3 & -2.2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Every user's preferences are approximated by a linear combination of the rows in the second matrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
5 & \mu & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \approx & -0.3 \cdot\left(\begin{array}{llll}
-9.0 & -5.8 & -9.5 & -5.3
\end{array}\right) \\
& +0.5 \cdot\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2.6 & 3.3 & -3.3 & -2.2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matrix Completion by SVD

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
5 & \mu & 1 & 1 \\
\mu & 1 & 5 & \mu \\
2 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\
4 & \mu & 4 & 2 \\
5 & 5 & \mu & 1 \\
\mu & 1 & 5 & 3
\end{array}\right) & \approx\left(\begin{array}{llll}
4.3 & 3.7 & 1.4 & 0.6 \\
2.8 & 1.2 & 5.1 & 3.0 \\
2.2 & 0.7 & 5.0 & 2.9 \\
4.2 & 2.8 & 3.9 & 2.1 \\
5.5 & 4.5 & 2.7 & 1.3 \\
2.8 & 1.2 & 5.1 & 3.0
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-0.3 & 0.5 \\
-0.4 & -0.4 \\
-0.4 & -0.4 \\
-0.4 & 0.1 \\
-0.5 & 0.5 \\
-0.4 & -0.4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-9.0 & -5.8 & -9.5 & -5.3 \\
2.6 & 3.3 & -3.3 & -2.2
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Question: What happens if observations are sparse?

How can we prevent the approximation to the inserted mean values?
Adapt the objective to approximate only observed entries.

## Making 3rd place in the Netflix Price 2009

Given: a data matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ having observed entries $D_{i k}$ for $(i, k) \in \mathcal{O} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\} \times\{1, \ldots d\}$ the set of observed matrix entries, and a rank $r<\min \{n, d\}$.

Find: matrices $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ whose product approximates the data matrix only on observed entries, indicated by $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}}$ :

$$
\min _{X, Y}\left\|\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}} \circ\left(D-Y X^{\top}\right)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{(i, k) \in \mathcal{O}}\left(D_{i k}-Y_{i} \cdot X_{k .}^{\top}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\text { s.t. } X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}
$$

Optimization: Coordinate Descent

## Truncated SVD solves the

## Rank-r Matrix Factorization Problem

## Now something different:

Finding low-dimensional representations of the data by
truncated SVD

## 1

## Informal Problem Description

## Exploring the Iris Dataset



| sepal length | sepal width | petal length | petal width | class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5.1 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.2 | setosa |
| 6.4 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 1.2 | versicolor |
| 5.9 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 1.8 | virginica |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

## The First Step of Data Analysis: Visualization



## We can also Generate our Own Features

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{5}=\mathrm{F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~F}_{6}=\mathrm{F}_{3}+\mathrm{F}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$



- $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ : sepal length
- $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ : sepal width
- $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ : petal length
- $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ : petal width


## How do we find good

 low-dimensional views on our data? How to create good new features?Find the linear combination of
features with highest variance.

## 2

## Derive the Formal Problem Definition

## Defining a new Feature by a Linear Combination

Given the $n \times d$ data matrix $D$ gathering $n$ observations of $d$ features $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{d}$, we define a new feature:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{d+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{~F}_{k}
$$

We have $n$ observations of this new feature, given by

$$
D_{\cdot d+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k} D_{\cdot k}=D \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## The Sample Mean of the new Feature

Given observations $D_{\cdot d+1}=D \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of the new feature $\mathrm{F}_{d+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{~F}_{k}$, we compute the sample mean as

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{F}_{d+1}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i d+1}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top} \alpha, \quad \text { where } \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{\mathrm{F}_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\mu_{\mathrm{F}_{d}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the vector gathering all sample means for the $d$ features.

## The Sample Variance of the new Feature

Given observations $D_{\cdot d+1}=D \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of the new feature

$$
\mathrm{F}_{d+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{~F}_{k}, \quad \text { with sample mean } \quad \mu_{\mathrm{F}_{d+1}}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\alpha},
$$

we compute the sample variance as

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{F}_{d+1}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(D_{i d+1}-\mu_{\mathrm{F}_{d+1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n}\left\|\left(D-1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right\|^{2}
$$

## Sample Statistics of the new Feature

Given observations $D_{\cdot d+1}=D \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of the new feature

$$
\mathrm{F}_{d+1}=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \alpha_{k} \mathrm{~F}_{k}
$$

the sample mean and variance is given by

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{F}_{d+1}}=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{F}_{d+1}}^{2}=\frac{1}{n}\left\|\left(D-1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right\|^{2} .
$$

We are interested in the direction of maximal variance, so we can restrict the length of vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}:\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=1$

## Finding the Direction of Maximal Sample Variance

The direction of largest variance $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=1} \sigma_{d+1}^{2} & =\max _{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=1} \frac{1}{n}\left\|\left(D-1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\max _{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=1} \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top}\left(D-1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}\right)^{\top}\left(D-1 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}\right) \boldsymbol{\alpha} \\
& =\max _{\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|=1} \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} C^{\top} C \boldsymbol{\alpha}}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=D-1 \mu_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}$ is the centered data matrix.

# So, the direction of largest variance is given by the 

 operator norm of the centered data matrix.
## How can we derive a

## low-dimensional representation

 of the data?Find the $r$ orthogonal directions of largest variance.

## The Principal Components Analysis Task

Given: a data matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and a rank $r$.

Find: the $r$ orthogonal direction of largest variance, given by the columns $Z_{\text {.s }}$ which are the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$
\max _{Z} \operatorname{tr}\left(Z^{\top} C^{\top} C Z\right) \quad \text { s.t. } Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, Z^{\top} Z=1
$$

where $C=D-1 \mu_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}$ is the centered data matrix.

## 3

## Optimization

## What is the solution $Z$ of the objective of PCA?

## The right singular vectors of $C$.

## SVD Solves the Objective of PCA

Theorem (Value of the Operator Norm)
Let $C=U \Sigma V^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ be the SVD of the matrix $C$. The solution of the optimization problem

$$
\max _{Z} \operatorname{tr}\left(Z^{\top} C^{\top} C Z\right) \quad \text { s.t. } Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, Z^{\top} Z=1
$$

is given by $Z=V_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}$ for $\mathcal{R}=\{1, \ldots r\}$.
Proof (sketch): Show that the objective above is equivalent to

$$
\min _{Z}\left\|C^{\top} C-Z \Sigma_{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}}^{2} Z^{\top}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { s.t. } Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, Z^{\top} Z=I
$$

## Principal Components Analysis

```
1: function \(\mathrm{PCA}(D, r)\)
2: \(\quad C \leftarrow D-1 \mu_{\mathrm{F}}^{\top}\)
\(\triangleright\) Center the data matrix
3: \(\quad\left(U_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}}, V_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{TruncatedSVD}(C, r)\)
4: return \(C V_{\mathcal{R}} \quad \triangleright\) the low-dimensional view on the data
5: end function
```

PCA can be implemented such that the novel data representation is centered (returning $C V_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}$ ) or not (returning $D V_{\cdot \mathcal{R}}$ ).

## Two-Dimensional PCA on the Iris Dataset

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{PC} 1=0.36 \mathrm{~F}_{1}-0.08 \mathrm{~F}_{2}+0.85 \mathrm{~F}_{3}+0.36 \mathrm{~F}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{PC} 2=0.66 \mathrm{~F}_{1}+0.73 \mathrm{~F}_{2}-0.17 \mathrm{~F}_{3}-0.07 \mathrm{~F}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$



- $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ : sepal length
- $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ : sepal width
- $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ : petal length
- $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ : petal width

P1

## PCA enables

## Dimensionality Reduction <br> Onto the Directions with Maximal Variance

